



REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS:

OHTN JUNIOR INVESTIGATOR DEVELOPMENT AWARD

The Ontario HIV Treatment Network:

Funding Research with Real-Life Impact

<p>Launch Date: November 1, 2011 Application Deadline: January 6, 2012 (11:59pm) Fund Start Date: April 1, 2012</p>

OHTN JUNIOR INVESTIGATOR DEVELOPMENT AWARD

[Description](#)

[Funds Available](#)

[Eligibility Criteria](#)

[How to Apply](#)

[Submitting the Proposal](#)

[Evaluation Criteria & Review Process](#)

[Reporting Requirements](#)

[Communication Requirements](#)

[Contact Information](#)

Description

The **OHTN Junior Investigator Development Award** was developed to help realize the mission of the OHTN – to improve the health and well-being of people living with and at risk of HIV in Ontario through a network that promotes research and evidence to drive change. This will be accomplished by funding people who are doing work that: a) is relevant to one or more of the populations most affected by HIV in Ontario (people living with HIV, gay men and other men who have sex with men, African, Caribbean and Black men and women, Aboriginal men and women, men and women who use drugs, and women who have unprotected sex or share drug equipment with people from these populations); b) has the potential to have a measurable impact in the short to medium term (i.e., in the next 2 to 5 years); and c) is scientifically rigorous.

The **OHTN Junior Investigator Development Award** will support Ontario-based new HIV researchers to:

- Develop a program of research
- Seek appropriate mentorship – both from academic experts and community leaders
- Develop a network of HIV researchers and stakeholders to ensure greater research impact

This award comprises 2 parts:

- A 2-year postdoctoral phase
- A 3-year junior researcher phase

Funds Available

Up to \$260,000 is available from the OHTN to support one (1) OHTN Junior Investigator Development Awardee up to \$55,000 per year for up to 5 years. This award is non-renewable.

The annual award amount from the OHTN is allocated as follows:

- Up to \$50,000 annual salary for the postdoctoral phase of the award
- \$5,000 annual research allowance for the postdoctoral phase of the award
- \$30,000 annual salary support for the junior researcher phase of the award (co-funded with host institution)
- Up to \$20,000 annual research allowance for the junior researcher phase of the award

OHTN JUNIOR INVESTIGATOR DEVELOPMENT AWARD

Note: For applicants with less than 2 years of postgraduate training upon the start date of the award, the annual salary will be \$40,000. For those with 2 to 3 years of postgraduate training upon the start date of the award, the annual salary will be \$50,000.

Payments will be made semi-annually based on satisfactory progress and financial reporting (including quarterly teleconferences).

Eligibility Criteria

To be eligible for the OHTN Junior Investigator Development Award, the applicant must be:

- A maximum of 3 years post-PhD or MD at the time of the start of the award
- Proposing and engaged in research that focuses on or significantly impacts one or more of the populations most affected by HIV in Ontario:
 - people living with HIV
 - gay men and other men who have sex with men
 - African, Caribbean and Black men and women
 - Aboriginal men and women
 - Men and women who use drugs
 - Women who have unprotected sex or share drug equipment with people from the populations listed above
- Supervised in the postdoctoral phase of the award by an established HIV researcher
 - Inside or outside of Ontario
 - Short-term rotations to other institutions for mentorship are encouraged, but applicant should have a primary supervisor
- Able to commit, full-time, to the proposed research plan
- Located in Ontario for the junior researcher portion of the award
- Planning a long-term research career in Ontario
- Able to demonstrate how work will have short- to mid-term impacts (within 2-5 years) on the HIV epidemic in Ontario
- As a condition of OHTN funding researchers and all members of their research team are expected to adhere to the [Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for the Research Involving Humans \(Version 2\)](#)

To be eligible for this award, the host institution for the junior researcher portion of the award must:

- Commit to co-funding years 3-5 of the award
- Commit to a tenure-track position within the institution post-award

OHTN JUNIOR INVESTIGATOR DEVELOPMENT AWARD

How to Apply

Applicants will complete and submit their application using the OHTN's web-based Grants Application Manager.

****NOTE****

1. OHTN Junior Investigator Development Award applications must be accessed for the first time at https://www.GrantRequest.com/SID_920?SA=SNA&FID=35031
2. Once created, applications must be re-accessed using https://www.grantrequest.com/SID_920/ and entering a user-unique ID and password
3. The application does not need to be completed in order, or all at once. Incomplete applications may be saved (click 'Save & Finish Later') and returned to at a later date
4. Print a copy of the application for your records *prior* to electronic submission

Eligibility Questionnaire

Upon entering the application form, applicants will be prompted by a series of questions intended to ensure the applicant's eligibility for this funding opportunity and with respect to the **Funding Research with Real-Life Impact Policy**. If applicants are uncertain about their eligibility they should consult with the [Manager, Research Funding & Development](#).

Relevance of Research

Describe the relevance of the proposed research plan to the HIV community in Ontario, specifically those populations most affected by HIV. What gaps in existing knowledge will the proposed research fill, and what is the intended impact of the research? How might the proposed research and mentoring plan improve the lives of those living with and at risk of HIV in Ontario? (*maximum 200 words, entered directly into application form*)

Plain Language Summary

Provide a lay language summary of the research program and the anticipated impact it will have on the epidemic in Ontario. Connect the mentorship and community engagement plan to the overall vision of the research and its pathway to impact. Describe the knowledge translation and exchange approaches to be utilized to facilitate the desired outcomes. (*maximum 500 words, entered directly into application form*)

Community Engagement Plan

Community engagement in HIV research is viewed by the OHTN as an essential mechanism to ensuring that research is relevant to community needs. The funding policy **Funding Research with Real-Life Impact** reinvigorates the OHTN's commitment to meaningfully engage community members throughout the research process.

Describe how the community has previously been engaged in research conducted by the applicant, including decision-making processes, advisory roles, capacity-building, dissemination, uptake and other activities as appropriate. Describe the engagement process to be applied in the current proposal,

OHTN JUNIOR INVESTIGATOR DEVELOPMENT AWARD

including community mentors, and any steps taken to date to demonstrate progress. The OHTN requires investigators to meaningfully engage the HIV community in all stages of the research process beginning with conception and development through to dissemination and uptake of the research results. Applicants are strongly encouraged to partner with community-based organizations, people living with or at risk of HIV, and government decision-makers during development stages, to encourage maximal relevance and uptake of research results. *(maximum 500 words, entered directly into application form)*

Proposal

The proposal (up to 11 pages) should illustrate a clear rationale for the support being requested:

Research Plan (5-6 pages):

- a succinct description of the proposed program of research (objectives, methods, analyses), including its impact on one or more of the populations most affected by HIV in Ontario
- a brief description of the proposed mentorship plan, including a description of the proposed mentor(s), and why they are appropriate. Mentors from both the academic and community sectors are strongly encouraged.
- a description of complementary or conflicting research being pursued by others, and how their research is positioned within this work
- anticipated challenges and proposed solutions and limitations
- a discussion of the ethical implications of the research proposed, including risks to individuals and communities, and how those risks are/will be mitigated

Impacts (2-3 pages):

- a description of the envisioned impact, how the research is positioned to have an impact, and the steps required to achieve that impact

Knowledge Translation & Exchange Plan (1-2 pages):

- a description of the knowledge translation and exchange methods and approaches for the current proposal

More on Impact

The OHTN is adopting the impact framework developed by the [Canadian Academy of Health Sciences](#) for the measurement of returns on investment in health research.

Applications are expected to fulfill those categories that are appropriate to the research being proposed. There are 5 possible categories of impact:

- Advancing Knowledge - includes new discoveries and breakthroughs from health research, and contributions to the scientific literature
- Research Capacity-Building - includes the development and enhancement of research skills in individuals and teams (personnel, activity funding, infrastructure)
- Informing Decision-Making - includes the impacts of research in the areas of science, public, clinical, and managerial decision-making practice and policy

OHTN JUNIOR INVESTIGATOR DEVELOPMENT AWARD

- Health-Impact - encompasses advances in prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and palliation when related to research (in groupings of health status, determinants of health, and health system performance)
- Broad Economic and Social Impacts - commercialization of discoveries, direct cost savings, and human capital gains

Applicants should refer to these categories and indicators when describing the impact of their proposal.

Note: Generating knowledge and contributing to the scientific literature (Advancing Knowledge) is important but it is not enough of an impact on its own to qualify for funding. Proposals must also demonstrate impact in at least one of the other four categories. Ideally, the OHTN will fund research that, taken with other evidence, will lead to a new or improved intervention, program, service, treatment or policy.

Proposals should be no more than 11 pages (not including references), single spaced, minimum 11 point font, with 1" margins. Proposals, including references, must be converted to PDF prior to uploading into the application form.

Timeline

A separate year by year plan for the proposed activities, including proposed/anticipated impacts, should be included.

Impact

Using bullet points, per the categories outlined above, list the top 2-3 impacts per (relevant) category anticipated from the successful achievement of the proposal. This information will be tracked to enhance our research funding accountability and reporting. *(maximum 100 words per category, entered directly into application form)*

Appendices

1. Applicants may upload up to three (3) manuscripts (submitted, accepted for publication, or published) that are relevant to the proposal *(manuscripts should be compressed to ensure easy upload)*
2. Applicants may upload up to three (3) products (presentations, testimonials, summaries) that demonstrate the dissemination and uptake of their research results
3. Questionnaires, surveys, or other tools related to the current proposal should be uploaded

Ethics Approval and Consent Forms

Upload collated copies of related ethics board approvals, as well as a copy of a consent form (draft form is encouraged if final approved version is not available) to be signed by prospective participants or other explanatory material (draft is acceptable) to be given out.

OHTN JUNIOR INVESTIGATOR DEVELOPMENT AWARD

Postdoctoral Phase: Host Institution Verification

The [Postdoctoral Phase: Host Institution Verification form](#) should be downloaded and completed as instructed. The verification form certifies the institution's support of the applicant during the postdoctoral phase of the award, including provision of the necessary space and equipment. Where multiple institutions are proposed, include a separate form for each.

Junior Researcher Phase: Host Institution Verification and Letter of Support

The [Junior Researcher Phase: Host Institution Verification form](#) should be downloaded and completed as instructed. The verification form attests to the salary support (minimum \$30,000 annually) to be provided to the applicant upon successful completion of the postdoctoral phase of the award. The form also certifies the institution's commitment to a tenure-track position within the institution upon completion of the award.

A Letter of Institutional Support should also be provided by the head of the associated department, indicating the applicant's suitability for the Junior Investigator Development Award, and how the applicant's research fits into the overall goals of the department and/or institution.

Letters of Support

If the research involves the support or collaboration of external organizations or individuals please submit letters of support indicating their approval of, role in, and commitment to, your proposed research. Letters of support from decision-makers (i.e. people who have or will use the research results) are particularly significant. (*maximum 3 letters*)

Letters of Reference

Letters are required from **three** individuals with whom you have worked, and who are in a position to give an assessment of your suitability for the OHTN Junior Investigator Development Award. These letters should be submitted by the deadline date electronically to the OHTN (jmajor@ohsn.on.ca) by the referee, or by mail each in a separate envelope, signed over the seal by the individuals providing the testimonials.

Applicant CV

Applicants should include a completed [Common CV](#) finalized for CIHR. The OHTN intends to become a member of the Common CV network in the future, and will personalize the Common CV for its purposes for subsequent funding calls. All relevant attachments (Most Significant Contributions, Activities & Contributions, and Publications) should be included.

Mentor CVs

The Postdoctoral Phase Supervisor should also submit a completed [Common CV](#) finalized for CIHR. A CV or resume should be included for all other proposed mentors.

OHTN JUNIOR INVESTIGATOR DEVELOPMENT AWARD

Postdoctoral Phase Supervisor's Material

The Supervisor's material (not including the CV, which must be uploaded with the application) should be submitted electronically by the supervisor to jmajor@ohln.on.ca or mailed via trackable courier (signed over the seal by the supervisor) and received by the application deadline.

The supervisor's material (maximum 4 pages) should include:

- a. a testimonial providing an assessment of the applicant's abilities and promise as a researcher
- b. details of the research training that has been planned and, when appropriate, details of the facilities available to the applicant to carry out the proposed program of research.
- c. a description of the particular role and responsibilities of the applicant when the applicant's project(s) is/are contributing directly to their supervisor's research program. Include any plans to give the applicant opportunities for independent work.
- d. any interruptions in the supervisor's career to be taken into consideration by the review committee.

Transcripts

The applicant must submit original transcripts of both undergraduate and graduate work at university. Transcripts should be scanned and uploaded with the application. If transcripts need to be ordered by the applicant, this should be done at least one month prior to the competition deadline to ensure timely receipt.

Research Allowance

Activities in the Junior Researcher portion of the award (years 3 through 5) **The Research Allowance application deadline is 3 months prior to the start of the Junior Research portion of the award.**

A separate, but overlapping, plan describing the activities to be conducted during the second half of the award period is required for applicants seeking the Research Allowance component of this award. The proposal should identify new initiatives that will be supported by the Research Allowance. Up to five (5) additional pages may be submitted.

Research Allowance Justification – on a separate page entitled “**Research Allowance Justification**”, write an explanation of budget items identified on the Research Allowance Budget Form. Be sure to clearly link budget items with the Research Allowance plan (see above). Follow the guidelines set out below.

- Travel: costs associated with transportation where applicable. Please note that you may only use up to \$2,000 for travel expenses.
- Personnel: the rate(s) of remuneration requested for professional and nonprofessional staff should normally be those payable by the sponsoring organization or institution to similar categories of staff, including fringe benefits where applicable.
- Equipment: the need for equipment (not related to infrastructure support) should be explained.

OHTN JUNIOR INVESTIGATOR DEVELOPMENT AWARD

- Supplies: please note that funds for the purchase of supplies are not intended for the purpose of furniture, office equipment and supplies (i.e., items normally supplied by an organization institution) or for infrastructure related to teaching or service delivery.
- Other Expenses: include justification for any other budget items requested.

Submitting the Proposal

Applications must be submitted electronically by the application deadline. Where electronic copies (including scans, which are acceptable for uploading to the application form) of documents are not available, applicants may submit in unstapled hard copy only, via trackable courier; however these documents must be received by the deadline.

Documents of the same nature should be collated together before uploading and submitting. For example, please submit all support letters in one electronic document.

No changes can be made to a grant application after the submission deadline. Applicants may update the OHTN about developments that arise after the submission deadline which may impact on the reviewer judgments, but cannot in any way alter the proposal submitted. For instance, applicants may alert the OHTN about manuscript submissions/acceptances and successes in obtaining grant funds, but cannot alter any aspect of the proposal submitted.

Please be advised that all application materials and information provided to the OHTN, including personal information such as sexual orientation or HIV status is kept strictly confidential and only forwarded to the members of the Scientific Review Committee and external grant reviewers. It may also be referenced in the review of your application. Please omit any personal information from your application that you do not want shared with members of the Scientific Review Committee or external reviewers – or supervisors, where applicable. Contact Jennifer Major if you have any questions.

Note: Allow a sufficient amount of time to ensure successful electronic submission. File limits have been imposed to ensure your application is accessible to reviewers. If you experience any difficulty with the online process, please notify Research Development staff at least 48 hours prior to the deadline so that any issues may be resolved.

Address hard copy documents (if applicable) to:

Ontario HIV Treatment Network
c/o Jennifer Major, Manager, Research Funding & Development
1300 Yonge Street, Suite 600
Toronto ON M4T 1X3

OHTN JUNIOR INVESTIGATOR DEVELOPMENT AWARD

Evaluation Criteria & Review Process

If applicants are uncertain about their eligibility they should consult with the [Manager, Research Funding & Development](#) before submitting a proposal.

All proposals submitted to the OHTN for research grants or awards are evaluated for their relevance and potential impact, as well as their scientific and technical merit. All submissions will go through the following two-stage review process.

Stage 1: Administrative Review

All submitted proposals will be subject to an administrative review by the Manager, Research Funding & Development, and the Chair, Scientific Review Committee to ensure that only proposals that meet the eligibility criteria for both the award and are consistent with the Funding Research with Real-Life Impact Policy, are considered for funding. If applicants are uncertain about their eligibility they should consult with the Manager, Research Funding & Development before submitting a proposal.

Stage 2: Scientific and Community Review

Eligible proposals will then be assigned to scientific and community or research/knowledge user reviewers with appropriate expertise in the areas in the proposal. Each application will be independently reviewed by: (a) two scientific reviewers (primary / secondary) to evaluate the scientific and methodological aspects of the proposal (see scientific review criteria below), and (b) two community or research/knowledge user reviewers (primary / secondary) who will evaluate the relevance and impact to the community (see overall impact criteria below). Each reviewer will rate five key elements (see below) and then provide an overall scientific or impact score.

At the scientific review meeting, the review will begin with each of the four reviewers announcing their preliminary overall scores. The scientific review will then proceed, with the primary reviewer presenting the proposal and his/her overall scientific assessment (strengths and weaknesses) followed by the 2nd scientific reviewer. The community or research/knowledge user reviewers will then present their assessment of impact, with the primary reviewer presenting his/her overall assessment of the impact of the proposal (strengths and weaknesses) followed by the 2nd community or research/knowledge user reviewer. After both the scientific and impact reviews have been presented, the Chair will lead an open discussion with the larger committee about the relative merits of the team and the overall proposal. Following this discussion, the Chair will then re-poll the reviewers to see if they want to make any final adjustments to their scores. The scientific reviewers will come to consensus about the range of scores for the overall scientific review within which the other committee members will vote. The community or research/knowledge user reviewers will go through the same process.

Once the assigned reviewers have reached the range of scores for the overall science and impact, each member of the review committee will then vote within this range. In the event that a committee member feels that he/she must vote outside of the agreed-upon range, he/she should make this known

OHTN JUNIOR INVESTIGATOR DEVELOPMENT AWARD

to the Chair and committee. Each proposal will receive final scientific and impact scores based on the average of all scores cast by the committee.

For funding decisions, the final two scientific and impact scores for each proposal will be summed and averaged, and then the scores for all proposals will be ranked from highest to lowest. Only proposals scoring less than or equal to 3 for their final score will be considered for funding.

Scientific Review Criteria: Scientific Reviewers will assess assigned proposals based on each of the five review criteria below to determine scientific and health / technical merit, and give a separate score for each (using the scoring chart below).

1. **Significance/Relevance:** Does the proposal address an *important* and *relevant* problem or critical barrier to progress in the HIV research field in Ontario? Is the proposal relevant to the priority population(s)? How will the successful completion of the project/program of research improve treatments, services, or prevention interventions for those infected and at risk of HIV? Will the knowledge exchange approaches put research evidence into the hands of people who will use it? *(Score out of 9)*
2. **Investigator(s):** Are the investigators and collaborators (if applicable) well suited to the proposal? If new investigators, do they have appropriate experience and training? If established investigators, do they have an ongoing record of accomplishments that have had a tangible impact? Have the applicants demonstrated and described a clear commitment to mentor, be mentored, work collaboratively and build capacity in the Ontario HIV sector? *(Score out of 9)*
3. **Innovation:** Does the proposal challenge and seek to shift current research, clinical practice, or service paradigms by exploring novel theoretical concepts, approaches, methodologies, or interventions? Is a refinement, improvement, or new application of theoretical concepts, approaches, methodologies, or interventions warranted? *(Score out of 9)*
4. **Approach:** Are the overall strategy, methodology, and analyses well-reasoned and appropriate to accomplish the specific aims of the proposal? Does the proposal present potential problems, alternative strategies, and benchmarks for success presented? Does the proposal discuss and address any ethical risks and strategies to mitigate those risks? Has the investigator sought community input to develop the proposal, and will community feedback be an integral component of the research as it unfolds? Is capacity building an essential element of the proposal? Are the KTE methods and approaches proposed appropriate? *(Score out of 9)*
5. **Environment:** Will the research environment in which the work will be conducted contribute to the probability of success? Are the organizational support, equipment and other physical resources available to the investigators adequate for the proposed activities? *(Score out of 9)*

Overall Impact Criteria: Community or Research/Knowledge User Reviewers will briefly describe and provide an *overall impact* score *(Score out of 9)* to reflect their assessment of:

1. **Relevance and Potential Impact to Priority Population:** Rate the relevance of the proposed research proposal to address key issues in one or more of the populations most affected by HIV in Ontario *(Score out of 9)*

OHTN JUNIOR INVESTIGATOR DEVELOPMENT AWARD

2. **What is the Potential Need for Change and Influence:** What is the relative need for achieving the desired impact (i.e. will the proposed research have a substantial impact on a population that has unmet needs)? (Score out of 9)
3. **Timing for Potential Influence and Impact:** What is the likelihood of the proposal having a short or medium term (i.e. in 2-5 years), sustained, tangible impact on the health and well-being of people living with and at risk of HIV in Ontario? (Score out of 9)
4. **How will the Potential Influence and Impact be Achieved:** Are the proposed pathways to impact, the role of the proposed research in achieving impact and the proposed KTE methods clear and feasible? Are they likely to realize the expected impact and get research into the hands of those who need it most? (Score out of 9)
5. **How will the Potential Influence and Impact be Measured and Evaluated:** Does the project team clearly describe how they will measure the potential for change and influence? How will they know it had the planned impact? How will they measure whether the information got into the hands of the targeted users and was used? (Score out of 9)

More on Impact

The OHTN is adopting the impact framework and five categories developed by the [Canadian Academy of Health Sciences](#) to measure returns on investment in health research.

Applications are expected to fulfill those categories that are appropriate to the research being proposed:

1. Advancing Knowledge - includes new discoveries and breakthroughs from health research, and contributions to the scientific literature
2. Research Capacity-Building - includes the development and enhancement of research skills in individuals and teams (personnel, activity funding, infrastructure)
3. Informing Decision-Making - includes the impacts of research in the areas of science, public, clinical, and managerial decision-making practice and policy
4. Health-Impact - encompasses advances in prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and palliation when related to research (in groupings of health status, determinants of health, and health system performance)
5. Broad Economic and Social Impacts - commercialization of discoveries, direct cost savings, and human capital gains

Note: *Generating knowledge and contributing to the scientific literature is important but it is not enough of an impact on its own to qualify for funding. Proposals must also demonstrate impact in at least one of the other four categories. Ideally, the OHTN will fund research that, taken with other evidence, will lead to a new or improved intervention, program, service, treatment or policy.*

OHTN JUNIOR INVESTIGATOR DEVELOPMENT AWARD

Scoring Chart

Impact	Score	Descriptor	Additional Guidance on Strengths/Weaknesses
High	1	Exceptional	Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses
	2	Outstanding	Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses
	3	Excellent	Very strong with only some minor weaknesses
Medium	4	Very Good	Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses
	5	Good	Strong but with at least one moderate weakness
	6	Satisfactory	Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses
Low	7	Fair	Some strengths but with at least one major weakness
	8	Marginal	A few strengths and a few major weaknesses
	9	Poor	Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses
Additional Information for Scoring Guidance Table			
Non-numeric score options: NR = Not Recommended for Further Consideration, DF = Deferred, AB = Abstention, CF = Conflict, NP = Not Present, ND = Not Discussed			
Minor Weakness: An easily addressable weakness that does not substantially lessen impact			
Moderate Weakness: A weakness that lessens impact			
Major Weakness: A weakness that severely limits impact			

Adapted from the U.S. National Institutes of Health [Enhanced Review Criteria](#) (NOT-OD-09-025)

Additional Review Criteria. All reviewers will pay special attention to **the following additional items when assessing a proposal and its overall impact:**

- a) **Protections for Human Participants:** For research that involves human participants, the committee will evaluate the proposal in accordance with the [Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans – Version 2](#). In particular, the committee should highlight any issues relating to: 1) vulnerability of participants, 2) potential risks to participants, 3) adequacy of protection against risks, 4) potential benefits to the participants and others, 5) importance of the knowledge to be gained, and 6) data and safety monitoring for clinical trials.
- b) **Inclusion of participants of all genders, ages and ethno-racial backgrounds:** The committee will evaluate the proposed research with respect to the appropriate inclusion of participants from groups that have historically been under-represented, such as racialized people, women, transgender people and children.
- c) **Research involving the First Nations, Inuit, and Métis peoples of Canada:** For proposals involving the First Nations, Inuit, and Métis peoples of Canada, the committee will evaluate the proposal in terms of its adherence to Tri-Council Policy Statement Version 2, [Chapter 9](#), and to the [Ownership, Control, Access and Possession \(OCAP\) Principles](#), which have been developed as an expression of self-determination in research. The key notions of those principles relate to the collective ownership of group information, control over research and information, management of access to their data, and physical possession of the data.
- d) **Resubmissions:** When reviewing a resubmitted proposal, the committee will evaluate the proposal as now presented, taking into consideration the responses to comments from the previous scientific review group and the resulting changes to the project.

OHTN JUNIOR INVESTIGATOR DEVELOPMENT AWARD

- e) **Renewals:** When reviewing a proposal to renew funding, the committee will consider the progress made in the last funding period, and the short- and medium-term impacts achieved.
- f) **Biohazards:** Reviewers will assess whether materials or procedures proposed are potentially hazardous to research personnel and/or the environment, and if needed, determine whether adequate protection is proposed.

Reporting Requirements

Continued release of funds is contingent upon timely receipt of satisfactory progress and financial reports. Failure to submit a report of any kind will preclude the awardee from receiving further financial support from the OHTN.

In addition to engaging in the activities related to their proposal, OHTN Junior Investigators will be expected to:

- Actively participate in meeting(s) that bring researchers and others together to share learning
- Participate in the OHTN Annual Research Conference and other activities aimed at research knowledge translation and exchange

The following reporting requirements will be implemented to help demonstrate progress and success of the OHTN Junior Investigator Award program:

- Quarterly progress conversations via teleconference (15-20 minutes in most cases)
- Annual written Progress Reports (2-3 pages) (co-signed by the supervisor during the postdoctoral phase of the award)
- Annual Financial Reports detailing how funds were spent
- A confidential assessment of the Junior Investigator by the postdoctoral supervisor after the postdoctoral phase of the award
- A Final Report that includes a clear assessment of the anticipated and actual impacts of the research, including an update on all mentees (5-6 pages)
- Dissemination of knowledge through publications in peer-reviewed and grey literature
- Knowledge translation and exchange activities (as outlined in funding proposal)
- A Report 1 year past the end date of the award that describes successes and challenges with respect to actual and anticipated impacts

OHTN staff will work closely with funded researchers to: understand the context for their work; assist with managing challenges; help them meet their objectives; and understand and help disseminate their findings.

Instructions and templates for reporting will be provided to successful grant recipients.

OHTN JUNIOR INVESTIGATOR DEVELOPMENT AWARD

Communication Requirements

1. As a condition of funding, researchers are required to submit abstracts of OHTN-supported research for presentation at the OHTN's Annual Research Conference.
2. Significant deviations from the original objectives of the proposal, methodologies, budget or other significant changes (such as supervisors) must receive written authorization from the OHTN prior to implementation. Failure to notify the OHTN in a timely manner may result in termination of funding.
3. The OHTN expects investigators to publish their work in peer-reviewed publications and other appropriate formats. The OHTN expects to be notified before OHTN-supported research is published, and requests copies of all publications arising from work supported through this award to assist the OHTN in its evaluation of this program.
4. The OHTN assumes responsibility for disseminating the Plain Language Summary within submitted reports via the OHTN website. However, OHTN affirms that the results are the intellectual property of the grant recipient and recognizes the need for teams to pursue publication in peer-reviewed publications or other appropriate formats. OHTN staff will work with grant recipients to ensure that its own dissemination efforts do not conflict with the teams' publication plans.
5. Funding recipients are also expected to contribute to the OHTN's monitoring and evaluation activities, including but not limited to: participating in evaluation activities (quarterly progress teleconferences, progress and final written reports, surveys, interviews, etc.), workshops, and providing data or reports as required for the purpose of assessing progress and results.

Dissemination of results through these methods ensures the OHTN is able to demonstrate accountability and impact to its various stakeholders.

Contact Information

For questions regarding this Request for Proposals, please contact:

Jennifer Major
Manager, Research Funding & Development
jmajor@ohln.on.ca
416.642.6486 x2249

For technical support using the electronic Grants Application Manager, please contact:

Stephanie Lemelin Bazinet
Research Development Administrative Assistant
sbazinet@ohln.on.ca
416.642.6486 x2244